Question 2 Restoration 20

* How set priorities across agencies + geographies?
* When set priorities on science also need to do outreach w/ locals + gov'ts. Propose prioritize system w/in political framework
* How can we integrate scientific basis w/in political reality. Package science at right scale, lots of P.R. needed

* ICBEMP SDEIS almost out. Based on watersheds; gives step down collaborative process of identifying priorities. Major driver ~~on~~ public lands. How best coordinate this major direction. ICBEMP offers Foundation or model. NW power planning process = opportunities to use as models for prioritizing
* preventive extinction. Protection vs restoration @ geographic level
* Current funding process = internal budget fights. Sets us up. FERC example? use prioritization implementation, how draw all projects, agencies, et al so don't reinvent wheel?

WA Issues to make sense to work together —

Should drive priorities
- Endangered species
- Water Quality limited Streams
- Drinking water
- FERC relicensing (?)

link w/ FERC + dam issue

* Accounting - where make biggest bang for buck. Find

Question 3 Partnerships Define Collaboration

* Collaboration doesn't mean consultation w/ tribes
* participatory democracy, all work together to get things done
* NW Forest plan model - "Force fed collaboration" Recognize comes @ cost. PACs, PACs, advisory committees. Be
* Before meant here The problem now it mean asking for help for solutions. Evolving model
  E.g. Willamette PAC example (Willamette restoration Initiative)
  E.g. SW province on Rogue River
  E.g. Blue Ridge province Demo

Examples
Coquille Tribe - clean up mining district
Sho Ban Tribe - M Fork Cultural protection
Nez Perce + Clearwater - Road closures

* BLM, FS, COR, EPA FWS Field + Regional

Group 2 March 7, 2000

Recorder - Amy Gowan USFS RY 20

Question 1a: APPROACH

* Broad language w/ good science, CWAP, collaboration well stated in general terms. Helpful to provide more specifics

* Consistent w/ NW Forest plan + moving forward on east-side also. Where put limited resources on most important! Policy reinforces direction already going.

* Must integrate ESA concerns! Overlay ESA data needs

* Good to bring in public... want general public feedback.

* Work w/ systems that are proved to be working. Use existing frameworks that are working + in place. No new wheel creating needed

* Sharing success stories to get ~~[illegible]~~ word out

* Not enough involvement in policy from the field. Only upper echelon knows about it

* Tribes important partners

* Change - NWFP model - change to expand scope to other agencies i.e. collaboration. Don't change model but expand collaborative + increase efficiencies

* Find way to coordinate all efforts & projects w/in watershed! county groups, state groups, etc.. Layer missing. Need clearinghouse group to know everything planned in 1 watershed. Have various mtgs + various watershed councils. Not apparent use of resources. province level coordination suggested

Question 1b

* Ensure links w other acts
* opportunity to show successes in terms of what to strive for
* TMDLs, specifics = not enough links. Many H2O issues not meeting standards.
* Fed'l coordination team for outreach will have regional roundtables. Could be clearinghouse for projects + success stories.
* play up specific examples good & bad. Models needed

Question 1c

* ~~Need~~ communication btw regulators + managers
* Need clarification on what lands it applies to.
* Need to provide coordination - interagency + councils
* Need implementation - how pull it together?
* Funding + staff? No input of new $ Is this another unfunded mandate
* What's incentive for Tribes, states, municipalities. Tied into Watershed analysis; step down process