185

Subject: CWAP
Sender: WMSTARK /Internet (WMSTARK@potlatchcorp.com)
Attached Date: 04/25/00 12:34
Priority: normal
Sensitivity: normal
Importance: normal

Part 1

FROM: WMSTARK / Internet
DDT1=RFC-822; DDV1=WMSTARK@potlatchcorp.com;

TO: cleanwater / wo, caet-slc

Part 2

ARPA MESSAGE HEADER

Part 3

I would like to take this oportunity to present my testimony on the proposed CWAP and have it made part of the record.
I firmly beleave that this is another beurocratic program that is dezigned to hamper the way of life for the people and industries that might have any effect on water quality.
This was never released for public review and comment. At no time should any Federal program proposal be implimented that does not go before the american public first for possible imput.
Some questions that I would like to ask are the following:
Why weren't all land users and property owners notified about this new watershed emphasis?
Where doesa multiple use fit in?
Why are you pushing this while litigation is still pending?
What are the indiucators of improvment?
What is the expected cost and timeframe for implimentation?
Wendell M. Stark
1480 Dent Bridge Road
Orofino, Idaho 83544

CAET RECEIVED
MAY [illegible] 2000